

Jason Hassay

From: Oscar Garza
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 9:00 PM
To: Amber Hausenfluck; David Edmonson; Graham Keever_SC; Dan Buda; Debra Gonzales; Harold Cook; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; Will Krueger; Jason Hassay; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo Serrano; Sushma Smith
Subject: Another Probable Hinojosa Amendment

Section 9 of the bill (starting on page 5, line 24) relates to providing notice of identification requirements to certain voters. It basically states that if a person is turned down due to insufficient identification they must be provided documentation on what are acceptable forms of identification as well as how to obtain a free ID.

This is not a problem except for the Sunset provision that makes the section expire on September 1, 2013.

We have drafted language to remove that provision by deleting Line 7 on page 6 which will require this practice to be ongoing.

Thanks,

Oscar Garza
Legislative Assistant
Senator Juan "Chuy" Hinojosa
(512) 463-0120

From: Amber Hausenfluck
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 7:52 PM
To: David Edmonson; Graham Keever_SC; Dan Buda; Debra Gonzales; Harold Cook; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; Will Krueger; Jason Hassay; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo Serrano; Oscar Garza; Sushma Smith
Subject: RE: Amendments

Senator Van de Putte is interested in the following:

1. 2 alternative forms of ID (taken from SB 382 from the 81st)
2. Changes in the law do not take effect unless the comptroller determines that the legislature has appropriated the amount of money appropriate entities that is necessary to fully fund the implementation of this Act. The Changes in law do not take effect unless the Secretary of State has received certification from every county that they have completed the training and outreach designated in SB 14.
3. Mandating the poll workers check ID's for the sole purpose of identity and not to check where one lives

The talking points for the above amendments are attached.

I will bring the other amendments Senator Van de Putte had drafted to the floor tomorrow in case anyone wants to offer any of them.

Thanks,

Amber Hausenfluck
Deputy Legislative Director
Senator Van de Putte, R.Ph.
E1.610
512-463-0126
amber.hausenfluck@senate.state.tx.us

From: David Edmonson
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 6:14 PM
To: Graham Keever_SC; Dan Buda; Debra Gonzales; Harold Cook; Amber Hausenfluck; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; Will Krueger; Jason Hassay; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo Serrano; Oscar Garza; Sushma Smith
Subject: RE: Amendments

Sen. Ellis is still going with the three I outlined yesterday. A few staffers have talked to me about their boss signing on to one of the amendments. **If your boss is interested in signing on, have them talk to Ellis tomorrow morning to physically sign the amendment.**

I've attached the text and a Q&A for each amendment as background. Thanks,

David

From: Graham Keever **On Behalf Of** Graham Keever_SC
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 6:02 PM
To: Dan Buda; Debra Gonzales; Harold Cook; Amber Hausenfluck; Steve Scheibal; Ray Martinez; David Edmonson; Will Krueger; Jason Hassay; Graham Keever; Micah Rodriguez; Graham Keever_SC; Sara Gonzalez; Lara Wendler; Gonzalo Serrano; Oscar Garza; Sushma Smith
Subject: Amendments

As of right now, we're running with the Medicare card amendment only.